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From: [CID](FBI)
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2005 3:43 PM
To: [CID] (FBI)
Subject: FW: IDW searches - restricted files

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[REDACTED] asked me to send him an official e-mail. This is just FYI, in case there was a systemic problem, and not just a mistake about the one file.

Hope you're enjoying the snow :)

-----Original Message-----
From: [CID] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2005 3:39 PM
To: [ITSD] (FBI)
Subject: IDW searches - restricted files

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Dear [REDACTED],

My name is [REDACTED] and I'm an intelligence analyst currently receiving IDW training during the Quantico AEST course. While learning the system, I pulled up a public corruption file which I understand should be restricted from general access. The idw_doc_id is [REDACTED] The file classification is 194-0, and because the Data Sources page states that only files 194A-Z are restricted, I wondered if that accounted for the release of the file for general access. If so, you may need to add the zero files to your filter.

Thank you very much for your time, and please let me know if you have any questions.

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
Excellent......and that is what we all live for.....deadlines (as opposed to Deadwood)

I have, and I got in just fine. I'll let you know about the other when I get a chance - deadlines are such fun!

We have removed the file, thanx again for coming by to help make sure we got the right one. It is SO nice to get some user feedback directly.

Two other questions.....Have you tried to log back into IDW since you got back and Is there anything else I can do to help you work the issue of additional files you wanted to include in IDW?

In case you need some other names.....FBI PM and the IDW O&M Lead

Thanx again
R
I'm sorry I didn't respond before (it was my last week at Quantico, and we turned in our access cards) - I'm back at HQ now, and I no longer have access to IDW. What kind of info do you need?

My number here is 202-324-

Thanks,

If you tried to call on the 202 number, please don't leave a message......somehow we can't retrieve any of them

My name is and I am the ISSO for the IDW system. Thank you for sending the information on this to us. I searched for the document you mentioned below, and couldn't find it. Could you please send me some more information from your search so we can locate and remove it?

I can also be reached either 202-324- or (cell)

Thanx again
Subject: IDW searches - restricted files

My name is [Redacted] and I'm an intelligence analyst currently receiving IDW/Chilad training during the Quantico ACES I course. While learning the system, I pulled up a public corruption file which I understand should be restricted from general access. The idw_doc_id is [Redacted]. The file classification is 84-0, and because the Data Sources page states that only files 194A-Z are restricted, I wondered if that accounted for the release of the file for general access. If so, you may need to add the zero files to your filter.

Thank you very much for your time, and please let me know if you have any questions.
There are two concerns being expressed about IDW in the article. One deals with whether the FBI has complied with the Privacy Act's requirement to publish a "systems notice" in the Federal Register and the other is whether the FBI has complied with the privacy impact analysis requirements of the "E-Government Act."

The answer to the first question is "yes." We consider IDW to be part of the FBI's Central Record System, an "umbrella" system that is comprised of all of the FBI's investigative files. While it is true that "IDW" isn't specifically mentioned in the CRS Privacy Act System Notice, we don't believe that is necessary. The system notice describes in reasonable detail what information we obtain, what routine uses we make of it, the authorities for maintaining the system and so forth. This notice is published in the Federal Register and is publicly available. In our view, we are compliant with both the letter and spirit of the Privacy Act in this regard.

The answer to the second question is also "yes." In fact, since IDW has been categorized as a "national security system," the E-Government Act does not require it to undergo a privacy impact analysis (PIA) at all. Even so, FBI and DOJ policy requires a PIA to be conducted. For IDW, the FBI has done several PIA's. We did one for the original system and did others as significant data sets were added to IDW. None of these systems were published since the law does not require them to be conducted in the first place. The point is that we have done far more to analyze the privacy implications of IDW than the law requires. Yes, the analyses have not been conducted in the public domain but Congress weighed the costs and benefits of conducting such an analysis in public and chose to exclude national security systems from that requirement when it passed the E-Government act.
Investigative Data Warehouse Program Overview
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Overview

- Access tools and data through standard desktop computer, FBI Intranet, & a browser session
- Interactive search services
  - Search based on free-form entered phrase
  - Search for subject terms such as name, DOB, SSN, telephone number
  - Search on metadata such as case number, document date, author, etc.
  - Capability to refine searches & set of search results
- Batch search services – search for subject terms
- More than 12,000 users – including Joint Terrorism Task Force (JTTF) members
- Collection of 53 government multi-source datasets including data from FBI, CIA, DOS, DHS, FinCEN
- Authority to Hold Data:
  - OGC and Privacy Impact Assessments (PIA) done for all data
  - Information Sharing Policy Board (ISPB) approves all data
  - Audit and Security Log Protocols are maintained across all data

May 4, 2006
Background

- Program started in FY02
- Program goals in FY03 OMB 300 Exhibit
  - Data from 40 sources
  - 5,000 users
  - 100 – 200 M records
  - 3-5 second response time for interactive searches
- Program achievements
  - Data from 53 sources
  - 12,432 user accounts
  - Data from ~ 500 M documents
  - 3-8 second median response time for interactive searches
  - Added Batch Search service
  - Special Project Team provided services to 5 task forces or operations
- Authority to Operate through 2008 for Integration/Test & Operational Environments
- Authority to Hold Data
  - OGC and Privacy Impact Assessments (PIA) done for all data
  - Information Sharing Policy Board (ISPB) approves all data
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Growth in User Accounts

12,432 total users as of 4/12/06
All Field Offices & 23 Legal Attache Offices

IDW USER ACCOUNTS
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## Users

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breakdown of IDW Accounts</th>
<th>No. of Accts as of 02/22/2006</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBI Agent</td>
<td>3,858</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI Analyst/Support</td>
<td>3,044</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Agency (Non-FBI)</td>
<td>1,541</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Agency</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other LE &amp; Analyst users</td>
<td>2,248</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,979</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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IDW Unique Users per Week

- Between ~ 1,200 & ~ 1,600 unique users sign on in any given week

IDW Unique Users

- IDW-S Unique Users
- Total Unique Users
- Linear (IDW-S Unique Users)
- Linear (IDW-SPT Unique Users)
- Linear (Total Unique Users)
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Interactive Transactions (Weekly)

- Between ~ 40,000 & ~ 60,000 interactive transactions in any given week
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Batch Processing

- Between ~ 50 & ~ 150 batch jobs submitted in any given week

May 4, 2006
7,642 Batch jobs were submitted between 05/25/2004 and 3/17/2006 by a total of 891 different users for an average of 9 batch jobs per user.
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Interactive Search Response Times (Weekly)

Average response time ~ 8 to 12 secs,
Median response time ~ 3-8 secs

RESPONSE TIMES
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# Composition of Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Source</th>
<th>No. of Docs as of 03/17/2006</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBI Sources</td>
<td>164,271,091</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-FBI Sources</td>
<td>422,915,362</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>587,186,453</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>No. of Docs as of 03/17/2006</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structured</td>
<td>542,939,167</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Un-Structured</td>
<td>44,247,286</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>587,186,453</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Case References to IDW Services

• 23,759 references to IDW in ACS/ECF as of 3/17/2006
  – 87% referred to NSB related Case Classifications
  – References to IDW in FCI cases increasing
  – IDW contains only limited case data from Cyber, Civil Rights and Public Corruption
  – CID requests for IDW accounts has increased dramatically in last 2 months

• Sample of batch service job titles
  – Vetting for special events
  – Specific investigations
  – Threat assessments
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Areas for Improvement

- Need capability for continuous operation given loss of primary site or capability at primary site
- Additional resources needed to meet for projected growth in number of users and volume of data
- Need more robust (responsiveness and capacity) backup and restore capability
- Need more robust test capability
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Status

• Core capability completed
  – Interactive search
  – Batch search
  – Monitor & control capability to manage Quality-of-Service

• Improving service
  – Optimizing capacity & responsiveness given resource constraints
  – Transitioning to Extraction/Transformation/Load service

• Demand for service growing beyond expectations & base resources
  – Host more data (4x-8x current 4 TB)
  – Provide service to more users (~ 20K)
  – Provide continuous monitoring for updates
  – Provide geo-coded data
  – Integrate with emerging Enterprise services
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Conclusion

• IDW has managed growth in users & data while maintaining Quality-of-Service
• IDW users are obtaining operational utility from the services provided by IDW
• Additional resources needed to meet greater than anticipated demand for services
• IDW services should be enhanced to provide data-related services
  – Provide services to reduce effort of integration & data migration
  – Provide functions needed to manage data quality
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FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE Date: 05/15/2005

To: Office of the CIO Attn: CIO Zalmay Azmi

Counterterrorism Attn: AD Willie T. Hulon

Records Management Attn: AD Donald N. Van Duyn

Attn: T.J. Harrington

A/U Willie T. Hulon

From: Directorate of Intelligence

Intelligence Issues Group/1B268

Contact: SSA 202-324-6757

Approved By: Baginski Maureen A

Solomon Jonathan E

Bowman Marion E

Drafted By: Baginski Maureen A

Case ID #: 66F-HQ-C1434337 (Pending)

Title: ISPG APPROVAL OF REQUEST FOR INCLUSION OF ADDITIONAL DATA SETS IN IDW/SPT

Synopsis: The Information Sharing Policy Group (ISPG) approves the immediate addition of seven (7) new data sets for inclusion in the Special Projects Team (SPT) accesses of the Investigative Data Warehouse (IDW). This data will support ongoing operational needs of the Counterterrorism Division (CTD) and all other IDW users.

Reference: 66F-HQ-1321794 Serial 194

Details: CTD has an operational need to apply the advanced features of the IDW system across a broader set of data. By adding these additional data sources, CTD will be able to uncover new criminal and terrorist links and activities. The enhanced results will contribute significantly to the FBI counterterrorism effort.

The ISPG has reviewed the data approval requests and ensured that all relevant Office of General Counsel (OGC) privacy impact assessments, interests of all operational divisions and
specific records management issues have been addressed. The ISPG approves the immediate addition of the following seven (7) data sets with appropriate updates for use in IDW/SPT.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

The above data sources are currently owned and licensed by CTD and used for CT work. Data quality and currency is not validated prior or during system loading. Newer editions of the sources will be loaded and the older editions will continue to be...
part of the IDW/SPT analyses. Retention of older data permits retrospective analysis.

Technical implementation may be either as an adjunct system accessible through IDW/SPT or as an integral part of the underlying, searchable federated data stores of IDW/SPT. This data approval does not obligate funds or resources for the technical activities that are required to implement and support system loading and related activities.

Records Management Division (RMD) will provide guidance to IDW/SPT concerning specific retention, disposal, and archiving for this data and the results of analysis derived from this data.
To: Office of the CIO  From: Directorate of Intelligence
Re: 66F-HQ-C1434337, 05/15/2005

LEAD(s):

Set Lead 1:  (Action)

RECORDS MANAGEMENT
AT WASHINGTON, DC

Provide guidance to IDW/SPT concerning specific retention, disposal, and archiving for the data and results of analysis derived from the data.

Set Lead 2:  (Info)

COUNTERTERRORISM
AT WASHINGTON, DC

Read and clear.

Set Lead 3:  (Info)

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
AT CIO, DC

Read and clear.

★★
Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 1/6/2004

To: Director's Office

Attn: CIO
Mr.

Attn: OPCA
Ms.

Attn: Ms.
Attn: Ms.
Attn: Mr.
Attn: Mr.
Attn: Mr.
Attn: Mr.
Attn: Mr.
Attn: Mr.

Attn: Mr. Joseph Ford

Attn: Mr. Robert Garrity
Mr. David Hardy

Attn: Mr.

From: Office of the General Counsel
Administrative Law Unit/Room 7338
Contact: ex

Approved By: Kelley Patrick W

Drafted By: 1bh

Case ID #: 66F-HQ-C1321794

Title: Privacy Impact Assessment; Investigative Data Warehouse

Synopsis: The Privacy Council has reviewed and approved, subject to conditions listed below, the PIA submitted for the FBI's Investigative Data Warehouse (IDW). A new Privacy Act system notice is not required.

Reference(s): 66F-HQ-C1321794 serial 109

Enclosures: IDW PIA
To: Director's Office
From: Office of the General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-C1321794, 1/6/2004

Administrative: This document contains footnotes. To read the footnotes, download and print the document in WordPerfect. This is a privileged FBI communication; do not circulate outside the FBI without the permission of the Office of the General Counsel.

Details: The Privacy Council approves the IDW subject to the following conditions:
Enclosed is a detailed analysis addressing pertinent issues.

LEAD(s):

Set Lead 1: (Action)

INFORMATION RESOURCES

AT WASHINGTON, DC

Please take action consonant with this EC.

Set Lead 2: (Info)

ALL OTHER RECEIVING OFFICES

AT WASHINGTON, DC

For information only.

CC: 1 - Ms. Caproni
     1 - Mr. Kelley
     1 - Mr. Bowman
     1 - Ms. (via email)
     1 - Mr. (via email)
     1 - Ms. (via email)
     1 - Mr. (via email)
     1 - Ms. (via email)
     1 - Ms. (via email)
     1 - ALU Tickler
     1 - ALU Library (InfP/PIA/2004)
To: Director's Office  From: Office of the General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-C1321794, 1/6/2004
To: Director's Office  From: Office of the General Counsel
Re: 66P-HQ-C1321794, 1/6/2004
To: Director's Office  From: Office of the General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-C1321794, 1/6/2004
To: Director's Office  From: Office of the General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-C1321794, 1/6/2004
To: Director's Office  From: Office of the General Counsel  
Re: 66F-HQ-C1321794, 1/6/2004
May 2003

PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

FOR THE

INVESTIGATIVE DATA WAREHOUSE (IDW)
Executive Summary

This Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) is submitted in accordance with MIOG 16-21.3, Completing a Privacy Impact Assessment, and MIOG 16-21.5, Paragraphs A, B, C, and D. This assessment is intended to address MIOG requirements for the enterprise Investigative Data Warehouse (IDW), and is submitted in coordination with Office of General Counsel for approval.


FROM: General Counsel  
Administrative Law Unit/ Room 7338  
Contact: (202) 324- 

Approved By: Kelley Patrick W  

Drafted By: enj lbh  

Case ID #: 66F-HQ-1321794 (Pending)  

Title: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW UNIT CORRESPONDENCE PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (PIA) UPDATE INVESTIGATIVE DATA WAREHOUSE (IDW)  

Synopsis: Approval is provided for the Program Management Office revisions to the IDW PIA.  

Reference: 66F-HQ-1321794 Serial 166  

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HERIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 07-11-2007 BY 65179 DMH/BJA/CAL  

1058805
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004

Administrative: This documents contains footnotes. To read the footnotes, download and print the document in WordPerfect. This is a privileged FBI communication; do not circulate outside the FBI without the permission of the Office of the General Counsel.

Enclosure(s): (1) Privacy Impact Assessment: IDW-S (2) List of approved databases for IDW-S (3) Revised PIA submission for the IDW System (4)

Details:

Please see the referenced EC, 66F-HQ-1321794 Serial 166, for more information.
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004

LEAD(s):

Set Lead 1: (Action)

INFORMATION RESOURCES
AT WASHINGTON, DC
Please take action consistent with this EC.

Set Lead 2: (Info)

ALL RECEIVING OFFICES
For information only.

cc: 1 - Ms. Caproni
     1 - Mr. Kelley
     1 - Ms.
     1 - Mr. Bowman
     1 - Mr.
     1 - Mr.
     1 - Mr.
     1 - Mr.
     1 - Ms.
     1 - Ms.
     1 - ALU Tickler
     1 - ALU Library (InfP/PIA/2004)
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004

Attachment 1
Privacy Impact Assessment
IDW-S
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004

Attachment 2

Approved IDW Data Sets
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel  
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism     From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004

Attachment 3

Investigative Data Warehouse (IDW)
Full Privacy Impact Assessment, MIOG 16-21.5

Executive Summary

This Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) is submitted in accordance with Manual of Investigative and Operational Guidelines (MIOG) 16-21.3, Completing a Privacy Impact Assessment, and MIOG 16-21.5, Paragraphs A, B, C, and D. This assessment is intended to address MIOG requirements for the enterprise Investigative Data Warehouse (IDW), and is submitted in coordination with Office of General Counsel for approval.

This PIA is a revision to the Full Privacy Impact Assessment that was submitted in May 2003 for the Investigative Data Warehouse system.
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism
From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004

---

b2
b6
b7c
b7E
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
Executive Summary

This Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) is submitted in accordance with Manual of Investigative and Operational Guidelines (MIOG) 16-21.3, *Completing a Privacy Impact Assessment*, and MIOG 16-21.5, Paragraphs A, B, C, and D.
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism
From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism
From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004
To: Counterterrorism  From: General Counsel
Re: 66F-HQ-1321794, 10/12/2004